App. F. IX-4

1925.

File No. 85-25

Previous References

(Miscl)

Serial Nos. 1- 12

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for May 1928

SUBJECT

Petition from Gundappa of Jeerigere praying that the Kaledayane on the canal in some Gomal land may be granted to the Devi Anjaneya Swami temple at the place for its upkeep

15-5-28 Letter To the Engineer

2-5-28

It is not possible to give the land granted in lieu of land formerly [illegible] ago.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 496/Mug 85-25-3

From the Mug: Comr:,
letter no. C. 341 of 25-6 & 22-10-25

Reports on the petition of Gund-appa of Yanuegere, Magadi Taluk, praying that the Kandayam on the land, which was formerly assigned as Inam to Sri Anja-neyaswami temple at the place, may be paid to the institution or some other formal Land granted for the benefit of temple.

It will be seen from the Mug: Comr's letter that the land was in the enjoyment of certain inam land in the village, which should be fixed on resurvey in the case of land registers, one of the in the possession of fields, a

N.B Should routine notes be written on small slip the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

which were forfeited for [illegible]
on account of non-payment
of ground rent thereon and
that they are now in the
enjoyment of persons, who
derived their title from
the original purchasers.
✓ The grant of penal
sum applied for by the petr.
being impracticable, the
file, it is stated, has been
asked to select some other
land, as puja is being conducted
regularly in the temple.
3 Subd for orders as to whether
the action proposed to be taken
may be approved.

sd
17/10/25

17.10.25

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

F.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

4

The Rec Regt may be asked to make a further report as the lands are selected & granted

29.10.25.

Rec. Reg) The Rec Comm. has promised to take the necessary action. And the applicants should be informed accordingly.

Part a report of action has become necessary

691/31.10.25

(1st Member)

31/10.

31.10.25

If upon both sides, I do not see any objection to the application

2/11

3.11.25.

As a fortnightly report of progress in respect of the forces of H.M. are subject to it from the G.M. Branch, a separate report in this case may not be, perhaps, necessary.

N.B -Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

A Draft Letter to the Mag:
Comr. is subd for approval Orders—

88 —
14/11/25 — 4.11.25

6.11.25

10/18 months

8/11

9.11.25.

9.11

Issue

10.11.25

20

Mag Coimbatore. No S 828 14-11-25. 8/4

with reference to sl. 3 requests
him to report the result of action taken
for the grant of some land to the

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Institution

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-.. 25

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No 5

From, the Asst. Comr &
Letter no. C. 341 dt 7.6.1926
Submits a copy of Bangalore Dy. Comr's. letter reporting that the memorialist who was asked to select some other land since S. no. 84 was not available, did not do so, but persisted in getting S. no. 84 alone, and that his prayer could not be complied, and adding that nothing further can be done in the matter.

Submits. The Comr may be
AY 8.6.26 requested to [illegible]
[illegible]
grace [illegible]

RG 9.6.26 Rev. Secy

9/6

Cannot a cash grant be granted to the tenant equivalent to the assessment on the land minus the godi. Kindly look up the rules on the subject.

Rao.
17.6.26

17/6

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No. 14

A printed copy of the precis of orders connected with the Inam enquiry is submitted. Attention is respectfully invited to Nos 27 and 30 laying down Rules for the settlement of malnad inams. Where the inamdar and wargdar are different and the former is not in possession of the inam land, a cash payment equivalent to the difference between the full value of the inam and the jodi if any, as set forth in the accounts, has continued. A printed Statement showing the malnad cash grants in the Shimoga Dist. (prepared in the Inam Deptt on the information available in the Inam Deptt) is put up. In every case, a nagad inam was fixed in lieu of land inams—jodi in case of inam lands paying jodi, and full assessment in case of Sarvamanya lands. Some of the detailed inam registers were lost/lost or otherwise in the Inam office, and in every case, where the land inam had permanently gone from the estate, a nagad inam was allowed in lieu of the inam land.

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

WD 21264—GPB —40,000—17 12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 65 of 192 .

Serial No 15

Judl. F. no. 186-08 relating to Sri Muniswamy matt at Bhuvanakallu in the Tirthahalli Taluk, is submitted. It will be seen from G.O. no. 154-6 dy 13-7-1909, that the matt was in enjoyment of a cash grant of Rs 2-15-0, that at the inam settlement this was commuted into a land assignment, the land so assigned being S. no. 77 of Mulbagal village which was a Khalsat land (subsequently converted into inam, under some orders), and the Swamy's request for the restoration of the cash grant in lieu of land inam was sanctioned. The inam supdt who was consulted in the matter, was of opinion that there was no provision in the Inam Rules to restore Rent Money Grants, once commuted into land inam. In G.O. no. 1341-4/Judl. 140-13-2 dy 17-12-1914, a cash grant of Rs 81-8-0 equal to the assessment of 3 S. nos (being Devadaya Khalsat lands which had passed through several hands), was sanctioned for the benefit of Sri Ganga-Viswesvara Devasthanam temple at Bhuvanakallu; ~~was~~ sanctioned

NOTE—Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

File 250

Serial No

No

In the case of Muncharlahalli Vinayaka Devaru, the memorialist has come up for the fresh full assessment as cash instead of kanu, Shri Sannamuniya, ~~the~~ Tahsildar's case is submitted separately herewith. In the case on point, it is for consideration and orders whether as prayed for by the memorialist, Gundappa, the fair amount being the assessment on the minimum kanu minimus the port, may not be fixed as cash minimum to the extent of the fact that the kanu is reported to be an ancient one built by Jain rajahs, and that regular temples being conducted thereon, the Hon. Court's ~~to be~~ opinion on the proposal be called for? If decided, the Inamdar will be asked to furnish details of the details in the register pertaining to the minimum kanu question, with a view to finality. [illegible]

Hon. Tahsildar.

17

As suggested

NOTE Contd Sheet

General and Revenue
Series

Series No

above, the M. C's opinion may be called for, & the Inam Supt also addressed for a copy of detailed Inam Report pertaining to the Inam lands in question & submitted for orders.

Report. Para 15.1

Rev. Supt/ 31/1

Please number the paragraphs in red ink)

K 31/7

6/ 5/7

21/ Mr. A.V. Planes 1/8

Mr 10/8/26 Dft 10/8/26

Drafts appd. Issue 7/8/26

6. To, Max Commr 1030 — 14/8/26

Enquiries whether the assessment & the fixed amount remained unpaid may not be granted as Cash Grant in the temp

7. To, Inam Supt No 303 & 14/8/26

Calls for detailed Inam extract pertaining to Fasli 1 to 4976 of Gunnegoor Village — returned for orders.

dm 10/8

Note Corrections

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 85 of 1925.

Serial No 8.

From, The Govt. Agent
Letter no. 21 Dy 2/9/19 L.
Submits a copy of the Grain Register pertaining to the settlement of inam lands in question.

1. The inam was a "Sirkal" inam and was continued as on a combined quit-rent of Rs 12/- for the benefit of Bojaneya Devaru in question, during the Inam Settlement of 1876. It is also noted in the copy of the register that in the year Vikrama, the land was sold by public auction and treated as Sirkar.

2. The Sanction report called for from the Mudr. Comr. in Sl. 6 may be awaited.

Submit. Refd. Paras 19 & 20 ult.
M 15/9/26.

Rev. Secy.

2. What is the "gani" amt.

GPM
11.9.2

3. The jodi in the Lands in the Inam register is =

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 192

Serial No.

There is no information [illegible]
Survey & Settlement [illegible]
Dept. [illegible]
[illegible]
Dept. calling for this information
from the Supdt. [illegible]
in Circle — 29/9

To, The Supt, Rev Survey & Settlement
No 645 dt 29.9.26
calls for certain [illegible]
in the matter

10. From, The Inam Supdt
Letter no. 101 dt 25.10.26
furnishes particulars of
S. nos and assessment pertaining
to Inam nos. 49 and 61 of
Gunnegere village, Nagar Taluk
Refd. to in Sl. 9.

It The D.C's report called for
in Sl. 6 may be awaited.

Submitted
AV 28/10/26. 28/10/26 31/10/26

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8 22

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-24

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 85 of 1925

Serial No. 11

From, The Inspr Coml
Letter no. C. 341 dt 17.2.1926.
Recommends that the Dy Comrs.
Recommendation for a cash grant
of Rs 19 being the difference between
the assessment of Rs 31 on the
resumed inam lands and the jodi
of Rs 12/ thereon may be
sanctioned as the temple is
an ancient one in good repair
wherein service is conducted.

3x This may await orders on
the general question (which must
have been discussed during the
Dy. Comrs.' meeting on the
19-2-1927.) on which the reply
is submitted. The AC is answerable.

AG
21.2.27. BB
23/2/27

3 yes yes

3y The lands were forfeited by the temple and were disposed of as darkhast lands Years ago. There is no justification for giving a cash grant equivalent to the difference between the present assessment on the lands and the old jodi on them. The proposal is only for a fresh cash taxdik grant to this temple and it has to wait until the general principles of such grants

D 01229—GPB —37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | mez File No. 85 of 192[illegible]

Serial No.

are Settled - In the meantime this particular Case may disposed of by stating that it is not possible to give Jivalakshi grant in lieu of lands forfeited long ago

Sd

[illegible]-5-28

35

D/D. Sulmin

AC

16.5.28

18/5/28

Dm

18/5

12 To the mez. Comr. No 2152 dated 23.5.28.

States that it is not possible to give a Tasdik grant in lieu of the lands forfeited long ago

App F VI G1.]

B650

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mun -

File No. 85-25

Serial No. 2

Diary No of letter replied to 331

No. 343 Enc nil

To The Mun: Comr in Mysore,
Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mun)
, the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 24-9-25

I am directed to forw for disposal or report if necy &c. here with a petn reqtd no. 331 & 9-8-25 presented to H.H. the Maharaja during his recent tour in the Magadi Taluk by Gundappa of Yemegere praying that the Kandayam on the land, which formerly belonged to the village Anjaneyaswami Temple as Inam, may be paid to the temple or some land in the village may be granted to the temple for its upkeep and to request that a report may be called in the matter &c.

Signed by Mr L Ramaiya

Draft subd for approval or other orders.

sd
12/9/25

16.9.25

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

months. It is hoped therefore that
the Local Authorities shall be asked to furnish
a sympathetic early report etc

16.9.25.

Secretary
Rev: Deptt

SD
12.9.25

Fortnightly reports
of progress in each case
must be subd until the
matters are finally disposed
of, the first report being
subd before the 15th inst

17137

18

No. C 341/9-25-6 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 19th October 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Muz. 85-25-2.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir

With reference to your letter No.343/Muz.85-25-2 dated the 24th September 1925 forwarding for disposal and report of action taken memorial presented by Gundappa of Yennegere in the Magadi Taluk praying that the Kandayam on the land which was formerly assigned as Inam to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at the place may be paid to the institution or some other gomal land granted for the benefit of the institution, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner in his report No.B_2-1312/25 dated the 14th October 1925 states that no inamti is entered in favour of this institution in the present Jodidar Patrika though S.Nos. 49 and 61 of the villages are shown as inams of this institution in the old quitrent register. With regard to the Gomal land applied for by the petitioner it is reported that it cannot be granted as the extent of Gomal land is much less than the area required for the village cattle. As the temple is an old one and regular conduct of puja is being carried on therein he suggests that the petitioner may be asked to select some other land. The Deputy Commissioner will be asked to do so.

It is seen from the statement given by the petitioner before the Amildar that the lands referred to above were formerly endowed to the institution but forfeited to Government on account of non-payment of Government dues thereon and that they are in the enjoyment of persons who have derived their title from the original person who got the lands by Dharkhast.

The memorial is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Seria No.

No. 582-

To

.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 0562—GPE—31,000—17-8-25

No. C 341 & 25-26 enclose Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 4th / 7 June 1926.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. MUZRAI
B 1473
Mu. 85-25-

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. To Bg. 7 JUN. 1926 G. D. SECTT.

To

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

Referring to your D.O.No.1437/Muz.85-25-R dated 11th May 1926 in re the submission of a further report about the result of the action taken for the grant of some land to Sri Anjaneya-Swami temple at Yennegere, Magady Taluk as prayed for in the memorial of one Gundappa, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.Bg-1312-26 dated 22nd May 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting that the memorialist who was asked to select some other land since S.No.84 was not available did not do so but persisted in getting S.No.84 alone and in this circumstance, his prayer could not be considered.

Nothing further can be done in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer
Muzrai Commissioner.

Bm

Letter No.B2-1312-26 dated 22nd May 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner of Mysore

"With reference to your No.341/25-26 dated 20th October 1925 forwarding copy of letter addressed to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department in the matter of grant of land for the benefit of Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Yennegere, Magadi Taluk on the Memorial of one Gurappa and asking me to take further action regarding the selection of some other land (as the one selected by him i.e. S.No.84 is not available) I have the honor to state that orders were issued to the Amildar, Magadi Taluk to direct the petitioner to select some other land. As the petitioner persisted in getting this identical land S.No.84 for which his darkhast was rejected in this office No.B2 1312-25 dated 15th October 1925, this prayer could not be considered. Under the circumstances orders have been issued to Sub Division Officer rejecting the darkhast."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muzg

File No. 85-25

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

No. 307

Date of issue 14-8-26

Signed by _____

To

The Muzrai Commissioner,

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no C.341 dt 4th June 1926 reporting that the prayer of the memorialist Sundappa for the Grant of S. no 84 in Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple at Gownagere in the Nagar Taluk, could not be granted as I am directed to enquire

over.

whether in this [illegible] par-

that the temple is reported to be an ancient one built by Janamejaya, and that regular worship is being conducted therein, the jari amount being the difference between the Survey assessment and the jodi if he retained from lands (S.Nos. 4 & 6) of the Yerrepa Village mayndr

be given as cash inam to the inamdr.

(2) The favour of an very early reply is requested.

I have &c.,

Sd/ Rev. Secretary.

Sd/ 10/8/26

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Date of issue 14-8-26

Signed by _____

Section Muz

File No. 88-15

Serial No.

No. 303

To

Gram —

The Supdt.,

~~Rev. Survey and Settlement.~~

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for reference a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the Resumption of Inam S. Nos. 1, and 61 of Yennegere Village, Nagadi Taluk, Gram — Sri Anjaneya

over.



List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No. 31

Enclosure

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore.....25 Sept.....*192* 6.

REV SECRETARIAT.
SEP 1926
MUZRAI

FROM D. Srinivasa Rao, Esq., B.A.,
~~D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A.~~
Superintendent of Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

REV. SECTT.
2 SEP 1926
G. D. SECTION

To C. Ranganatha Rao Sahib, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

SIR,

As desired in your letter No.303/Muz.85-25, dated 14-8-1926, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the resumption of Inam survey Nos.49 and 61 of Yennigere village Nagadi Taluk, from Anjaneyaswami temple at the place.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D. Srinivasa Rao
Superintendent.
2-9-1926

LSR.

Register Sheet No. 28

Taluk = Nagadi

Hobli – Solur

Village – Yennagere

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Jamagare

CLASSIFICATION AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	Name of the Inamdar to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of the field comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure Reduced to the local measure of quantity and value, to be entered in this column	Extent Measurement in British territory
1	2	3	4	5
			H Stat accdt of 1841	
			K C P	
8	Devendraya	Dry No 49	0 - 13 - 0	
		Wet 61	0 - 6 - 0	
			0 - 19 - 0	

Sammat of Solur in the Taluk of Dharwar

DESCRIPTION, TENURE AN			
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c, or liable to quit-rent, &c, jodi, &c, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
Beira. R. A. P. Dry 2-6-0 Wet 1-5-0 4-1-0	R. A. P. 7-9-0 4-5-10 11-14-10	For the Support of Brijaneyaderavaru's temple in this village which is her Archi Cultivate is in good order and daily Services rendered.	Jodi R A. P 11-10-3 Paid from the year 1831.
P. 7. 0 4-0-0			

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poornah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Taluk Poyrnish of 1807-8 Anjaneya devar Dry 0-13-4 1/4 Wet 0-16-3 1/4 1-9-7 1/2	H Shd account of 1841 Anjaneya devar. Dry 0-13-0 2-6-0 Wet 0-6-0 1-5-0 0-19-0 4-4-0 Jodi 4-0-0 Jari 0.1 0 Name of the grantee and date of the grant Cannot be ascertain

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
agennigere Arjaniah devar Manager pro tem	—	—	

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
A Whole grant 2. allowable being a Stul Inam 3 M. Stul Account adopted 4 The object of the grant was for puja 5. To be Confirmed on ½ quit rent 0-2-4 Jodi 11-10-3 all Rs 11-12-7 or Rs 12/- under Rule 1. I.D Compulsory (Sd) Syed Nassuden Assistant	1. Whole grant Devadaya Stull 2 Confirmed on a combined quit rent of Rs 12/- (Sd) R A Cole Superintendent 31 1 26 Compared. Copy Cft. 31.8.26. A Vasa Rao For Superintendent N.B. In the Wahivas Takhta received from the Talak in the year 1085 There is a Remark to the effect that this was sold by public auction in the year Vikrama and granted as Sirkar Cft. 31826. A Vasa Rao For Superintendent

G. R S. VI G-321

SUBJECT _____

_____

_____

Diary No of letter replied to

Serial. Misc
File No 85 25
Serial No 9

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192 .

No. 1-1

Date of issue 29-9-26.

To
The Collector
Nor. Eastern and
Settlement Officer Trichy

Signed by _____

Sir,

Adverting to your
letter No 31 D 22 Sept 26
submitting a copy of the
Inam register pertaining to
the Resumption of 4 Inams in
4 villages namely Vellanur,
Pannimadai, Kannanur,
Kumbia and the Inam
Director circular No 1 dated
will be no fair
The S. Nos and Survey Measurement as per Fair Accounts
Records of Inam Lands
4 Inams in 4 villages

over

37

No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, 17th February .....1927 .

T76

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Muz 85-25-11

SIR,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.302/Muzrai 85-25 dated 14th August 1926 calling for a report as to the feasibility of giving a cash grant to the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Yennegere, Magady Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.B-2-762 of 26-27 dated 5th February 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District recommending a cash grant of Rs.19/- being the difference between the assessment of Rs.31/- on the resumed Inam lands once in the enjoyment of the temple and the Jodi of Rs.12/- thereon. It is reported that this ancient institution is kept in good repair and regular service also is conducted.

I request that in the above circumstance the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation may be kindly sanctioned.

The vernacular papers received with the Deputy Commissioner's ... letter under reference are herein enclosed for reference and kind return

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

BR

Letter No.B.2-762 of 26-27 dated 5th February 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

==========

"With reference to your No.C.341/25-26 dated 21st August 1926 forwarding a copy of Revenue Secretary's letter No.302/Muz.'5-25 dated 14th August 1926 and requesting me to report regarding the grant of cash inam to Sri Anjanayaswami temple at Yennegere, Magadi Taluk on the representation of Gundappa, I have the honor to submit herewith the report of the Sub Division Officer, Closepet Sub.Division (No.A-3-217/26-27 dated 26th January 1927). From the extract of the Quit-rent register filed in the records it is seen that the amount of Jodi that was being recovered on the inam originally granted was Rs.19/- and the marginally noted lands formerly endored to the temple are said

Paji No.	R.S.No.		Extent.	Assessment.
40	106	dry	12-12	15- 0- 0
		wet	0-10	
61	110	dry	0- 3	
		wet	2-6	9- 8- 0
				24- 8- 0

to have been sold for arrears of Jodi due thereon in the year 1903 and as no bids were forthcoming, the lands were resumed to Government and disposed of on Darkhast proceedings. During the recent revision settlement the assessment on the above lands is reported to have been fixed at Rs.31/-. In these circumstances the Sub Division Officer recommends the grant of Rs.19/- cash grant (being the difference of assessment and the jodi 31-12= 19) and I am of opinion that the same may be sanctioned to this ancient institution which is reported to be in good repair and regular service is going on."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

A, P 1, VI. G]]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section mer

File No. 85-25

Serial No. 12

No. [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The Inuz Court

Date of issue 23.1-2

Signed by.....

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence
ending with your letter
C 341 of 20-12-1927 recommend
that a cash grant of Rs 1
being the difference be
The assessment of Rs 3/
on the land which was form
assigned as waste to Sri Thya
nega Swamy temple at
yennegere in the mazare
Taluk, and the jodi of the
12 Thoucon, may be [illegible]

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

AC
RC

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26 5-27